Table of contents

Section A
Overall focus and scope 1

Chapter One: Overall introduction 3
1.1 Research motivation and overall theme 3
1.2 Research problem, relevance, and objective 4
1.2.1 What does complexity mean? 6
1.2.2 How to manage complexity? 7

Chapter Two: The two studies of this dissertation 9
2.1 Introduction to research study 1 9
2.2 Introduction to research study 2 10

Chapter Three: Overall structure of this dissertation 13

Section B
Study 1 – Organizational search in the humanitarian sector 15

Chapter One: Introduction 17

Chapter Two: Theoretical background 21
2.1 Social innovation in the humanitarian sector 21
2.2 Hiddenness of knowledge advocates a bottom-up approach 23
2.3 Problem complexity advocates a theory-guided approach 24
2.4 Which theory guides the search in the humanitarian sector? 25

Chapter Three: Methodology & Findings 27
3.1 PAR Planning 28
3.1.1 Phase I: Goal-setting became Project Scoping. 28
3.1.2 Phase II: Identification of trends became identification of drivers 28
3.1.3 Phase III: Identification of lead users became identification of social innovators. 29
3.1.4 Phase IV: Co-creation workshop 29
3.2 PAR Action 29
3.2.1 Phase I: Project scoping. 29
3.2.2 Phase II: Identification of drivers became problem understanding. . . . 29
3.2.3 Phase III: Identification of social innovators became solution search . . 31
3.2.4 Phase IV: Co-creation workshop became peer creation facilitation . . . 33
3.3 PAR Evaluation 33
3.3.1 The resulting theory-guided bottom-up search process 33
3.3.2 The characteristics of the innovations and the innovators 34
3.3.3 Comparison to broadcasting 36

Chapter Four: Discussion of findings 41
4.1 Empirical support for a theory-guided bottom-up search process 41
4.2 The theory-guided bottom-up search process for the humanitarian sector. . . 41
4.3 Identification of problem drivers became problem understanding (Phase II) . 42
4.4 Identification of lead users/social innovators became solution search (Phase III) 42
4.5 Pyramiding had to be complemented by secondary search (Phases II & III) 43
4.6 Co-creation became peer-creation facilitation (Phase IV) 44

Chapter Five: Implications, limitations and further research 45
5.1 Theoretical implications 45
5.2 Practical implications 47
5.3 Critical reflection and limitations 48

Section C
Study 2 – Sensemaking of social entrepreneurs 49

Chapter One: Introduction 51

Chapter Two: Theoretical background 53
2.1 Social entrepreneurship as a research field 53
2.2 A typology of social entrepreneurs 54
2.2.1 Social Bricoleur 54
2.2.2 Social Constructionist 55
2.2.3 Social Engineers 56
2.3 Social value creation 57
2.3.1 Unpacking the 'social' of social problems (RQ1) 58
2.3.2 Sensemaking and sensegiving to gain legitimacy 58
2.4 The social entrepreneurial innovation search process 60
2.4.1 Traditional rational/economic logic falls short for social entrepreneurship 60
2.4.2 Emergent approaches: effectuation and bricolage 60
2.4.3 Exploring the nature of social entrepreneurial search processes (RQ2) . 61
2.5 Managing paradoxical tensions through different cognitive frames 62
2.5.1 Social entrepreneurs face institutional complexity 62
2.5.2 Adopting paradox theory as a theoretical lens 63
2.5.3 Investigating resolving strategies and cognitive frames (RQ3) 67

Chapter Three: Research design 69
3.1 Epistemological Framework 69
3.1.1 Positivism vs constructivism 69
3.1.2 Critical realism 70
3.2 Methodology 71

3.3 Comparative analysis 72
3.3.1 Empirical field: Institutional settings in Ethiopia and Germany 73
3.3.2 Summary 82
3.4 Data collection. 82
3.4.1 Balancing theoretical, methodological and practical demands 82
3.4.2 Sampling procedure for Ethiopian social entrepreneurs 84
3.4.3 Sampling procedure for German social entrepreneurs 85
3.4.4 Descriptive sample analysis. 86
3.4.5 Conducting narrative interviews 88
3.4.6 Collecting secondary data in Ethiopia 90
3.4.7 Collecting secondary data in Germany 91
3.5 Data Analysis. 91
3.5.1 Coding process 91
3.5.2 Inter-coder reliability 94

Chapter Four: Findings 97
4.1 Findings for sensemaking and sensegiving of social value 97
4.1.1 Deriving the theoretical lens: Sen (2009)'s idea of social justice. 98
4.1.2 Problematizing: How to formulate the social problem 98
4.1.3 Solving: how to develop solutions 102
4.1.4 Legitimizing: Communicating social value 106
4.1.5 Summary 109
4.2 Findings with regard to managing paradoxical tensions. 110
4.2.1 Performing tensions 111
4.2.2 Organizing tensions 111
4.2.3 Belonging tensions 112
4.2.4 Learning tensions 112
4.2.5 Instrumental strategies to manage tensions. 112
4.2.6 Integrative strategies to manage tensions 115
4.2.7 Cognitive frames derived from the dominant strategies to resolve tensions 117
4.2.8 Experience corridors. 117
4.2.9 Strong, weak, and absurdly strong sustainability 118
4.3 Integrating the research findings into a two-dimensional matrix. 119
4.3.1 Global Bricoleur: Solving a global problem with a bricolage approach 121
4.3.2 Bridge builder: Bridging two different social spheres. 121
4.3.3 Imposed Realisation 123
4.4 Type-breaking: Reorienting justice, action, or solution 123
4.4.1 Reorienting ethical approach and cognitive lens due to a suppressive ecosystem 123
4.4.2 Reorienting ethical approach and cognitive lens towards bridge builder 125

4.4.3 Reorienting ethical approach: Imposed realisation 125
4.5 Legitimizing innovativeness 126

Chapter Five: Discussion 131
5.1 Ethical facet of social problem: Two understandings of social justice 132
5.2 Managing complexity: Two types of innovation search 134
5.2.1 Comparing problem formulation and solution search processes 134
5.2.2 Comparing legitimizing processes 135
5.3 Resolving paradoxical tensions: Two types of cognitive frames 136
5.4 Four different types of social entrepreneurs 137
5.4.1 Distinction based on scaling intention and project scope 137
5.4.2 Describing the Bridge Builder 138
5.4.3 Linking the findings of this study 139
5.5 Cognitive search, paradox frames and experience corridors 140
5.6 Social entrepreneurial motives 142
5.7 Instrumental discussion: scalability vs sustainability. 143
5.8 Comparison between Ethiopia and Germany. 144
5.9 Legitimacy tension regarding newness of social innovation 145
5.9.1 Typical legitimacy strategies 146
5.9.2 Reverse adaptation strategies 147

Chapter Six: Implications for theory and practice 149
6.1 Theoretical contributions 149
6.2 Policy recommendations 153
6.2.1 Participatory architecture 153
6.2.2 Distributed experimentation 154
6.2.3 Social entrepreneurial education. 155
6.3 Managerial recommendations and further research 156
6.3.1 Social innovation process 156
6.3.2 Paradoxical tensions 157
6.3.3 Cognitive frames and motives 158

Chapter Seven: Limitations 159

Section D
Overall conclusion 161

Chapter One: The nature of social problems 163

Chapter Two: The governance of solving social problems 165

References 167

Appendix 197