Table of contents

| Nomen | clature | V |
|---------|--|----|
| Abstrac | t | ΧI |
| Kurzfa | ssung | ΚV |
| Chapte | r 1 Introduction | 1 |
| 1.1 | Motivation | 1 |
| 1.2 | Objectives | 4 |
| 1.3 | Research tasks and thesis outline | 5 |
| Chapte | r 2 State of research and technology | 7 |
| 2.1 | Indoor air flow | 7 |
| 2.2 | Multicomponents | 8 |
| 2.3 | Comparison of simulation results of different CFD programs | 11 |
| 2.4 | Contribution of the present work | 12 |
| Chapte | r 3 Numerical Modeling | 15 |
| 3.1 | CAD modeling and meshing | 16 |
| 3.1. | 1 Base case model | 16 |
| 3.1. | 2 3D Test room | 17 |
| 3.2 | Governing equations | 19 |
| 3.2. | 1 Laminar flow | 19 |
| 3.2. | 2 Turbulent flow | 20 |
| 3.3 | Boundary conditions | 23 |
| 3.4 | Model parameters | 25 |
| 3.5 | Double configuration | 25 |

Table of contents

| CF | D procedure | 26 |
|------|---|--|
| Co | des used in this work | 27 |
| .1 | CFX | 28 |
| .2 | FLUENT | 29 |
| .3 | OpenFOAM | 29 |
| Co | ncluding remarks | 29 |
| er 4 | Base case simulation results | 31 |
| Ind | loor air flow model | 31 |
| .1 | Turbulent flow | 34 |
| .2 | Laminar flow | 39 |
| .3 | Conclusion | 39 |
| Ind | loor air flow model with an emission source | 39 |
| .1 | Turbulent flow | 42 |
| 2 | Laminar flow | 47 |
| 3 | Conclusion | 47 |
| Pol | llutants distribution model based on double configuration | 47 |
| .1 | Two components system | 48 |
| .2 | Three components system | 50 |
| Co | | 52 |
| er 5 | Experimental investigation | 55 |
| Tes | st setup | 55 |
| Me | easurement technique | 58 |
| Ex | perimental procedure and conditions | 58 |
| Me | easurement results and discussion | 62 |
| .1 | Two components system (without flow obstruction) | 63 |
| .2 | Two components system (with flow obstruction) | 67 |
| .3 | Three components system | 69 |
| .4 | Further experiments | 75 |
| | Co .1 .2 .3 Co .1 .1 .2 .3 Inc .1 .2 .3 Po .1 .2 .3 Po .1 .2 .3 .1 .2 .3 .1 .2 .3 .1 .2 .3 .1 .2 .3 .1 .2 .3 .1 .2 .3 .1 .2 .3 .1 .2 .3 .1 .2 .3 .1 .2 .3 .1 .2 .3 .1 .2 .3 .1 .2 .3 .1 .2 .3 .1 .2 .3 .1 .2 .3 .1 .2 .3 .1 .2 .3 | 2 FLUENT .3 OpenFOAM Concluding remarks .4 Base case simulation results. Indoor air flow model .1 Turbulent flow .2 Laminar flow .3 Conclusion Indoor air flow model with an emission source .1 Turbulent flow .2 Laminar flow .3 Conclusion Pollutants distribution model based on double configuration .1 Two components system .2 Three components system .2 Three components system .3 Concluding remarks .4 Experimental investigation .5 Test setup .6 Measurement technique .7 Experimental procedure and conditions .8 Measurement results and discussion .1 Two components system (without flow obstruction) .2 Two components system (with flow obstruction) .3 Three components system (with flow obstruction) .3 Three components system (with flow obstruction) |

| 5.5 | Cor | ncluding remarks | | | | | 76 |
|-------------|-------|--|-----|------|----|---|-----------|
| Chapter | r 6 | Comparison of simulation results with experimental results | • | | • | | 79 |
| 6.1 | Inde | oor air flow model | | | • | | 79 |
| 6.2 | Dev | veloped simulation model based on double configuration | | | | | 81 |
| 6.2. | 1 | Two components system (without flow obstruction) | | | | | 81 |
| 6.2. | 2 | Two components system (with flow obstruction) | | | | | 86 |
| 6.2. | 3 | Three components system | | | | | 87 |
| 6.3 | Cor | acluding remarks | | | | | 91 |
| Chapter | r 7 | Further simulation studies | | | • | | 93 |
| 7.1 | Cas | e 1 – Influence of air change rate | | | • | | 93 |
| 7.2 | Cas | e 2 – Influence of different initial in-room pollutant concentration | ns | | • | | 98 |
| 7.3 | Cas | e 3 – Influence of different pollutant inlet flowrates | | | | | 99 |
| 7.4 | Cas | e 4 – Influence of different air inlet flowrates with the same | po! | llut | an | t | |
| | inle | t flowrate | | | | | 101 |
| 7.5 | Cas | e 5 – Influence of different time durations of pollutant inlet | | | • | | 104 |
| 7.6 | Cor | acluding remarks | | | • | | 105 |
| Chapter | r 8 | Summary and outlook | | | • | | 107 |
| 8.1 | Sun | nmary | | | | | 107 |
| 8.2 | Out | look | | | • | | 111 |
| Append | lix A | Experimental | | | • | | 113 |
| A. 1 | Mea | asurement technique | | | • | | 114 |
| A.1. | .1 | Flame ionization detector | | | | | 114 |
| A.1. | .2 | Infrared photometer | | | • | | 116 |
| A.1. | .3 | Wilson flow grid | | | • | | 117 |
| A.2 | We | ight analysis of propane cylinder | | | • | | 119 |
| A.3 | Fur | ther experiments | | | • | | 121 |
| A.3. | .1 | Two components system (without flow obstruction) | | | | | 121 |
| A.3. | .2 | Two components system (with flow obstruction) | | | | | 122 |

Table of contents

| Appendix B | Simulation | • | • | • | • | • | 131 |
|------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----|
| B.1 2D | base case model | | | | | | 132 |
| B.1.1 | Laminar indoor air flow model | | | | | | 132 |
| B.1.2 | Laminar indoor air flow model with an emission source | | | | | | 136 |
| B.1.3 | OpenFOAM running in parallel on computing cluster | | | | | | 141 |
| B.2 Dev | veloped simulation model based on double configuration | | | | | | 144 |
| B.2.1 | Time dependent expression for the mass source contribution | | | | | | 144 |
| B.2.2 | Influence of diffusion | | | | | | 145 |
| B.2.3 | Comparison of simulation results with experimental results. | | • | | • | | 147 |
| References | | | | | | | 151 |